

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,635	GENNESSON, PATRICK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

(2) Kien Le.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12-7-05

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

that of record

Claims discussed:

that of record.

Prior art documents discussed:

that cited.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex. Eashoo proposed an Exr. Amdt. to make the independent claims commensurate with applicant's arguemnts regarding the prevention of "necking down". The term "sufficently close" is broad an requires the limitation of claim 18 (1mm not 1m as stated in spec.) to amek the arguments persuasive. Mr. Kien agreed and suggested other minor changes to several claims for claim clarity..